



JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES

<https://e-journal.uum.edu.my/index.php/jls>

How to cite this article:

Nehaluddin Ahmad, Ahmad Masum & Aqilah Walin Ali (2024). Conceptual, Legal, And Ethical Considerations In Physician-Assisted Suicide: An Overview. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies*, 15(2), 707-744. <https://doi.org/10.32890/uumjls2024.15.2.12>

CONCEPTUAL, LEGAL, AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN PHYSICIAN-ASSISTED SUICIDE: AN OVERVIEW

¹Nehaluddin Ahmad, ²Ahmad Masum & ³Aqilah Walin Ali
Sultan Sharif Ali Islamic University (UNISSA), Brunei Darussalam

¹Corresponding author: ahmadnehal@yahoo.com

Received: 5/7/2023 Revised: 22/2/2024 Accepted: 29/4/2024 Published: 30/7/2024

ABSTRACT

Physician-assisted suicide (PAS) remains a contentious and highly debated topic within medical, legal, and ethical spheres. The complex issue of (PAS) raises significant inquiries regarding patient autonomy and societal norms. Ambiguities in conceptual, legal, and ethical aspects impede policy formulation, leading to unequal access and apprehensions about patient welfare and confidence. This article provides a thorough examination of assisted suicide, exploring its legal, ethical, and medical dimensions. It aims to facilitate informed dialogue and stimulate further investigation into the legal framework and ethical considerations surrounding this contentious issue. Through a library-based research approach and qualitative analysis of existing literature, this study delves into the diverse facets of assisted suicide. The article begins with a definition and contextualisation of assisted suicide, distinguishing it from related end-of-life practices. It then delves into the legal landscape, discussing different legal frameworks and regulations across various jurisdictions, and significant legal cases that have shaped the current discourse. From an ethical standpoint, this

article explores the ethical considerations involved in assisted suicide, including autonomy, dignity, and the sanctity of life, and presents the perspectives of different stakeholders. The medical perspective examines the role of healthcare professionals, the criteria for assisted suicide, and empirical research on its effectiveness and safety. Real-world case studies are presented to illustrate the complexities of assisted suicide. Furthermore, this article analyses public opinion and ongoing debates on the topic. Ultimately, this analysis aims to provide readers with a comprehensive understanding of assisted suicide, fostering informed discussions and encouraging further exploration of this contentious issue.

Keywords: Assisted suicide, legal perspective, ethical consideration, end-of-life practices.

INTRODUCTION

According to the American Medical Association (AMA), the practice of assisted suicide is commonly referred to as medically assisted suicide or aid-in-dying of a competent person with a terminal illness, asking for the assistance of a healthcare practitioner to end their life on purpose (Dugdale et al., 2019). This controversial and emotionally charged topic raises profound legal, ethical, and medical questions.

The topic's significance lies in its intersection with fundamental principles such as autonomy, dignity, and the ability to govern one's body and destiny. Advocates contend that assisted suicide is a caring and humane alternative for people suffering from incurable diseases, enabling them to die on their own terms and avoid unnecessary pain and suffering. These proponents stress the significance of patient autonomy and death with dignity (Fontalis et al., 2018).

On the contrary, those who oppose assisted suicide cite concerns about potential abuse and the deterioration of the doctor-patient connection in considering the moral ramifications of purposefully hastening death. They emphasise the sanctity of life and suggest that rather than assisting death, medical practitioners should focus on providing palliative care and support to reduce suffering (Fontalis et al., 2018). The topic holds legal significance as well, as the rules and regulations governing assisted suicide differ widely throughout different governments and states. The governments of certain nations have made assisted suicide lawful or decriminalised it under certain conditions, while others have explicitly forbidden it. Legal debates often revolve

around issues of consent, the definition of terminal illness, the role of healthcare professionals, and the need for safeguards to prevent abuse (Pereira, 2011).

Given the complexity of the issue and its profound implications for individuals, families, and society, understanding the legal, ethical, and medical perspectives surrounding assisted suicide is crucial. Analysing these perspectives helps to inform public discourse, shape policy decisions, and guide medical professionals in navigating end-of-life care options.

This article thoroughly examines assisted suicide, covering its definition, historical context, legal frameworks, ethical considerations, medical perspectives, case studies, public opinion, and ongoing debates. Assisted suicide is defined and contextualised within historical and cultural narratives, with an analysis of legal frameworks across jurisdictions and arguments for and against legalisation. Ethical dilemmas, including autonomy and the sanctity of life, are discussed within various ethical frameworks alongside perspectives from medical professionals and advocacy groups. The role of physicians in assisted suicide, its criteria, and its safeguards are explored through empirical research, while case studies illustrate its complexities. Public opinion polls reflect cultural and religious influences on attitudes, while ongoing debates highlight the diversity of arguments. Overall, this article offers a synthesised analysis of legal, ethical, and medical aspects of assisted suicide, with consideration for future developments.

DEFINITION OF ASSISTED SUICIDE

The practice of assisted suicide is commonly referred to as medically assisted suicide or aid-in-dying of a competent person with a terminal illness purposely asking for the assistance of a healthcare practitioner to end a person's life (AMA Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs, 2014). In assisted suicide, the person takes an active role in the process, typically via self-administration of a fatal drug given by a healthcare professional.

Differentiating other end-of-life practices from assisted suicide is important:

- **Euthanasia:** Euthanasia involves the intentional act of a healthcare professional directly administering a lethal substance to end the patient's life, typically upon the request of the

patient. Unlike assisted suicide, euthanasia does not require the patient to self-administer the medication; instead, a healthcare professional administers it (National Health Service, 2020).

- **Palliative Care:** According to the National Cancer Institute (2021), palliative care focuses on providing comprehensive medical, emotional, and spiritual assistance to help improve the quality of life for people suffering from terrible illnesses, including those near death. Palliative care's primary purpose is to alleviate pain and manage symptoms rather than intentionally hastening death. Palliative care aims to enhance comfort, dignity, and overall well-being throughout the dying process (WHO, 2020).

While both assisted suicide and euthanasia involve intentionally bringing about a patient's death, the key distinction lies in the role and actions of the healthcare professional. In the case of assisted suicide, the individual self-administers the lethal medication, whereas in the case of euthanasia, a healthcare professional administers it (Goligher et al., 2019). In contrast, palliative care focuses on providing comprehensive support and comfort to improve the standard of living for individuals nearing death without intentionally hastening death (Rome et al., 2011).

The concept of assisted suicide has an extensive past, with references beginning in ancient civilisations. However, the modern discourse surrounding assisted suicide gained significant attention in the 20th century, driven by advancements in medical technology, changing societal attitudes, and evolving ethical perspectives on making decisions at the brink of death.

Key Events and Legal Cases

1. Derek Humphry and the Hemlock Society:

Derek Humphry is a British-born American author and journalist who created the Hemlock Society in 1980, which advocated for the legalisation of assisted suicide. The Hemlock Society was instrumental in spreading awareness and encouraging the right-to-die cause (Smith, 1999; Childress, 2012).

2. The Nancy Cruzan Case

In the United States, a case cited as *Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dept. of Health* (U.S. Supreme Court, 1990) was known in the late 1980s as the Nancy Cruzan Case. It was a watershed

point in the debate over assisted suicide. Nancy Cruzan was left in a prolonged state of coma after a traffic accident, and her relatives fought over the authority to withdraw her life-sustaining medical treatment. The case was examined by the U.S. Supreme Court, which ruled that competent adults have the power to decline life-sustaining treatment but did not explicitly address the subject of assisted suicide.

3. Oregon's Death with Dignity Act

The Death with Dignity Act, which legalised physician-assisted suicide, was passed by Oregon as the first state in the United States to do so. The law permits terminally ill patients to request and self-administer a deadly dose of medication prescribed by a healthcare practitioner. The enactment of this act, as well as the ensuing legal challenges around it, have had a considerable impact on the debate surrounding assisted suicide in the United States of America (Oregon Health Authority, 2010).

4. The Netherlands and Belgium

The Netherlands and Belgium have been at the forefront of the assisted suicide debate. In the Netherlands, a landmark legal case in 2001 established specific criteria and guidelines for physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia (BBC, 2020). Belgium also passed legislation allowing euthanasia under certain conditions, including unbearable suffering and a request from a competent patient ("Euthanasia in Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg," 2013).

5. Brittany Maynard's Case

Brittany Maynard was a young woman who was diagnosed with fatal brain cancer and gained international attention in 2014 when she publicly shared her decision to move to Oregon to access suicide by physician according to the state's Death with Dignity Act. Her case brought significant media coverage and sparked discussions about the right to die with dignity (Shoichet, 2014).

These historical events and legal cases have contributed to shaping the current discourse on assisted suicide. They have influenced

public opinion, legislative efforts, and legal frameworks in various countries, spurring ongoing debates about autonomy, the role of healthcare professionals, and the moral and ethical implications of assisted suicide.

LEGAL PERSPECTIVE

Here is an exploration of the different legal frameworks and regulations regarding assisted suicide in various countries or jurisdictions:

1. The Netherlands

The Dutch were the first to legalise physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia. The Termination of Life on Request and Assisted Suicide (Review Procedures) Act of 2002 allows for euthanasia and assisted suicide under certain conditions. The law necessitates a voluntary and well-thought-out request from a competent patient experiencing excruciating pain involving at least two physicians (Asscher & Vathorst, 2020).

2. Belgium

The Belgian Act on Euthanasia, passed in 2002, legalised physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia. Like the Netherlands, the law specifies strict criteria, including a voluntary request from a competent patient, unbearable suffering, and consultation with multiple physicians. In 2014, Belgium extended the law to include minors under certain conditions (Guarascio, 2016).

3. Luxembourg

Luxembourg legalised euthanasia and assisted suicide in 2009. The law allows a competent adult patient experiencing unbearable suffering to request and receive assistance in ending that patient's life, subject to specific procedural requirements and medical consultations (Ashford, 2021; The Government of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, 2012).

4. Switzerland

Switzerland has a distinct method of assisting suicide. While it does not have specific legislation legalising or criminalising assisted suicide, the practice is generally permitted if a non-physician carries it out and is not motivated by selfish reasons. Organisations such as Dignitas and Exit International offer

services to assist individuals in ending their lives (Guillod & Schmidt, 2005).

5. Canada

In Canada, the 2015 ruling in *Carter v. Canada* by the Supreme Court decriminalised physician-assisted suicide for adults who are competent and suffering from a “grievous and irreversible medical condition.” The decision triggered the 2016 Medical Assistance in Dying (MAID) Act, which set the criteria for eligibility and procedural precautions for assisted suicide (GOC, 2016; GOC, 2016; GOC, 2021).

6. United States

In the United States, laws governing assisted suicide differ by state. Oregon became the first state to legalise physician-assisted suicide under the Death with Dignity Act in 1994. Subsequently, the District of Columbia and ten states (e.g. California, Colorado, Hawaii, and Washington) approved comparable legislation. Specific standards and precautions must be met under each state’s laws (National Council on Disability, 2019).

7. Malaysia

In Malaysia, euthanasia is strictly prohibited and classified as a criminal offence under the Penal Code. Section 300(a) of the Penal Code defines any deliberate act by a physician to cause a patient’s death as culpable homicide amounting to murder, covering both non-voluntary and involuntary euthanasia. However, active voluntary euthanasia with explicit patient consent falls under Exception 5 of Section 300, reducing the severity of the offence. Despite patient consent mitigating physician liability, the physician may still be held accountable under Section 299 for intentionally causing death or bodily harm likely to lead to death. Failure to accomplish euthanasia could result in liability under Section 308 for culpable homicide not amounting to murder, punishable by imprisonment or fines. Additionally, unsuccessful assisted suicide could lead to charges under Section 306 for abetting suicide or Section 309 for attempted suicide. Notably, Malaysia is considering decriminalising suicide attempts while maintaining criminality for aiding and abetting suicide (Kamalruzaman et al., 2022; Chu, 2023).

8. Brunei

In Brunei, abetting and attempting suicide are deemed criminal offences under Sections 306 and 308 of the Brunei Penal Code, Chapter 22. Given Brunei's conservative Islamic governance and cultural values, the likelihood of legalising assisted suicide in this context is extremely low. Islam places significant emphasis on the sanctity of human life, as evidenced by Quranic teachings that emphasise Allah SWT's sole authority over life and death. It is noteworthy that no Islamic nations have endorsed physician-assisted suicide (Grove et al., 2022). Furthermore, the Bruneian government is actively participating in suicide prevention efforts, further diminishing the likelihood of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide legalisation in the country (Ho, 2020).

Notably, the legal frameworks in different countries or jurisdictions may vary in eligibility criteria, procedural requirements, reporting mechanisms, and oversight (Salihu et al., 2017). Public opinion, medical guidelines, and court rulings also influence the legal landscape surrounding assisted suicide. As the topic evolves, legal frameworks in different regions may undergo changes or amendments.

Landmark Legal Cases and Legislative Decision

Here are some landmark legal cases and legislative decisions that have influenced the legality of assisted suicide:

1. The Sue Rodriguez Case (Canada, 1993)

Sue Rodriguez, a Canadian lady suffering from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, challenged Canada's anti-assisted suicide laws in the early 1990s. Rodriguez argued in a high-profile lawsuit that the limitation on assisted suicide violated her constitutional rights to life, liberty, and personal security. In 1993, the case reached the Canadian Supreme Court, which found 5–4 against Rodriguez, sustaining the restriction on assisted suicide. The verdict, however, accepted the possibility of future revisions in the legislation based on changing cultural norms (Bakewell, 2016).

2. The Oregon Death with Dignity Act (United States, 1994)

Oregon became the first state to legalise physician-assisted suicide under the Death with Dignity Act in 1994. It enables

people with fewer than six months to make a request and administer a lethal dose of medication prescribed by a doctor. Other states, including Washington, California, and Colorado, have used this act as a model to legalise assisted suicide (Ganzini et al., 2000).

3. The *Carter v. Canada* Case (Canada, 2015)

Carter v. Canada was a watershed moment in Canada's assisted suicide debate. Several plaintiffs, including Kay Carter and Gloria Taylor, claimed the legal right to physician-assisted suicide in the lawsuit. In 2015, the Canadian Supreme Court declared that the restriction on physician-assisted suicide violated the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. The Canadian government was given a year by the court to write legislation governing assisted suicide, which resulted in the enactment of the MAID Act in 2016 (Chan & Somerville, 2016).

4. *Gonzales v. Oregon* (United States, 2006)

The *Gonzales v. Oregon* case was a significant legal controversy in the United States that influenced the legality of assisted suicide. The lawsuit focused on the U.S. Attorney General's attempt to prevent doctors from administering lethal medications in compliance with Oregon's Death with Dignity Act. In 2006, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in favour of Oregon, stating that the federal government could not overturn the state's legislation allowing physician-assisted suicide (Pew Research Center, 2006).

5. The Belgian and Dutch Legal Frameworks

Landmark legislative decisions in Belgium and the Netherlands have significantly influenced the legality of assisted suicide (Lewis, 2015). The Belgian Euthanasia Act 2002 and the Dutch Termination of Life on Request and Assisted Suicide (Review Procedures) Act 2002 set out explicit criteria and standards for physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia (Lewis, 2015). These laws have set precedents for other countries considering similar legislation.

These legal cases and legislative decisions have shaped the discourse and influenced the legality of assisted suicide in their respective countries, setting important legal precedents and contributing

to ongoing debates about the rights and choices of terminally ill individuals.

Proponents of legalising assisted suicide have presented several arguments in support of the practice, emphasising the importance of autonomy and personal choice, stating that people should be free to make their own decisions concerning their lives, including the opportunity to terminate their misery through assisted suicide. Proponents say that legalising assisted suicide provides a compassionate and humanitarian choice for persons experiencing extreme pain, physical debilitation, or excruciating suffering at the end of life. They contend that it aligns with patient-centred care, allowing patients to have control over their medical decisions and die with dignity. Additionally, proponents argue that comprehensive regulations and safeguards can be implemented to protect vulnerable individuals, prevent abuse, and uphold the practice's integrity (Dugdale et al., 2019).

Opponents of legalising assisted suicide have presented contrasting arguments, often emphasising the sanctity of life and contending that intentionally causing or facilitating death is morally wrong. Opponents express concerns about the potential for abuse or misuse of assisted suicide laws, warning of a potentially slippery slope towards the devaluation of vulnerable populations or eventual expansion to euthanasia. They advocate for improved access to high-quality palliative care and hospice services as an alternative, arguing that investing in comprehensive pain management and end-of-life care can provide relief and comfort without resorting to intentionally ending lives. Opponents also raise concerns about the impact on the medical profession, suggesting that assisted suicide may blur the line between providing compassionate care and intentionally causing death, potentially compromising the trust and integrity of the doctor-patient relationship (McQuillan, 2020).

These arguments reflect the ongoing and complex debate surrounding assisted suicide, a multifaceted issue involving moral, ethical, legal, and medical considerations. The discourse continues to shape policies and legislation related to assisted suicide as societies grapple with finding a balance between individual autonomy, compassion, protecting vulnerable populations, and upholding the principles of medical ethics.

ETHICAL PERSPECTIVE

Here is an examination of the ethical considerations surrounding assisted suicide, including autonomy, dignity, and life sanctity:

1. Autonomy

According to Colburn (2019), in the context of assisted suicide, autonomy is a crucial ethical principle. Supporters argue that patients should possess the autonomy to make independent decisions concerning their own lives, including the ability to terminate their misery through assisted suicide (Braun, 2022). These supporters emphasise the importance of individual autonomy and self-determination, arguing that persons should be able to choose the time and manner in which they die and their own bodies. Respecting autonomy acknowledges individuals' ability to make decisions based on their personal values, beliefs, and circumstances (Sjöstrand et al., 2011).

2. Dignity

The concept of dignity is inextricably tied to the discussion of assisted suicide. Advocates argue that legalising assisted suicide allows individuals to die with dignity, surrounded by loved ones, and in a manner that aligns with their own values and preferences. These advocates contend that a compassionate and humane approach to care at the end of life includes allowing for the option of assisted suicide for those experiencing severe pain, physical debilitation, or unbearable suffering. By offering this choice, proponents argue that we can uphold the inherent dignity of individuals by allowing them to maintain control and agency over their own lives until the end (Van Niekerk, 2016).

3. Life Sanctity

Opponents of assisted suicide frequently invoke the notion of life sanctity, contending that life is intrinsically valued, so causing or assisting death is morally wrong. From this perspective, every human life has inherent worth and should be preserved and protected, irrespective of the individual's health status or suffering. BBC (2014) overviewed the arguments for euthanasia. However, its opponents contended that legalising assisted suicide undermines life sanctity and may lead to the devaluation of vulnerable populations or a slippery slope towards euthanasia.

Ethical considerations surrounding assisted suicide involve a careful balance of the principles of autonomy, dignity, and life sanctity. Respecting autonomy recognises an individual's freedom to choose their own life decisions, while the concept of dignity emphasises the importance of providing choices that allow for death in line with personal values and preferences. On the other hand, opponents argue that preserving the sanctity of life should take precedence over facilitating death intentionally, maintaining that life is inherently valuable and should be protected (BBC, 2014).

Navigating these ethical considerations requires carefully examining individual rights, societal values, the impact on vulnerable populations, and the implications for healthcare professionals. The ongoing ethical discourse surrounding assisted suicide aims to find a balance that respects the autonomy and dignity of individuals while upholding the principles and values that underpin the sanctity of life (BBC, 2014).

Ethical Frameworks

Here are the ethical frameworks of utilitarianism and deontology and how they inform the debate on assisted suicide:

1. Utilitarianism

Utilitarianism is an ethical paradigm that aims to maximise general happiness or well-being for the greatest number of individuals possible (Veenhoven, 2010). Within the framework of assisted suicide, utilitarianism considers the potential consequences and overall utility of legalising or prohibiting the practice (Pereira, 2011).

Utilitarian proponents argue that legalising assisted suicide can lead to positive outcomes by reducing suffering and promoting individual autonomy. They contend that allowing individuals to choose a dignified death when faced with unbearable pain or terminal illness maximises overall well-being. From a utilitarian perspective, the happiness and relief experienced by those who benefit from assisted suicide can outweigh its potential negative consequences, such as concerns about abuse or the devaluation of life (Picón-Jaimes et al., 2022).

On the other hand, utilitarian critics are concerned about potential unintended consequences, such as the erosion of societal values and the potential impact on vulnerable populations. They argue that the negative consequences of legalising assisted suicide,

such as a loss of trust in the medical profession and increased societal distress, outweigh the benefits (Picón-Jaimes et al., 2022).

2. Deontology

Deontology is a moral theory emphasising the observance of moral laws or duties and the intrinsic nature of activities rather than their outcomes (Barrow & Khandhar, 2023). Deontological viewpoints on assisted suicide frequently centre on principles and duties linked to autonomy, life sanctity, and the role of healthcare professionals in the debate (Tseng & Wang, 2021).

Proponents of assisted suicide may argue that it is a moral imperative to respect individual autonomy as well as the ability to make judgements concerning one's life. They may emphasise the duty to relieve suffering and provide compassionate care, even if it means assisting in the process of dying.

Conversely, deontological critics argue that the duty to preserve and protect the sanctity of life takes precedence over individual autonomy. They assert that intentionally causing or facilitating death is fundamentally wrong, irrespective of the circumstances. From this perspective, healthcare professionals must focus on providing palliative care, pain management, and support to ensure the best possible quality of life until natural death (Tseng & Wang, 2021).

Notably, these ethical frameworks represent general perspectives, so variations and nuances within each approach can exist. The utilitarian framework assesses the overall consequences and happiness, while deontology focuses on principles, duties, and moral rules. The debate on assisted suicide draws on these ethical perspectives, and understanding their implications can help inform discussions and policy decisions surrounding the practice.

Ethical Perspective of Key Stakeholders

Here is a discussion of the perspectives of key stakeholders, including medical professionals, religious groups, and patient advocacy organisations concerning assisted suicide:

1. Medical Professionals

(Hetzler et al., 2019) stated that the perspectives of medical professionals on assisted suicide vary widely. Some physicians

argue that assisting patients in ending their lives is incompatible with their professional duty to preserve life and promote healing. They may view their role as providing comprehensive palliative care and pain management to alleviate suffering without actively participating in hastening death.

However, some medical professionals advocate for assisted suicide. They suggest that it can be viewed as an extension of patient-centred care in which individual autonomy and patients' right to make their own life decisions are recognised (Fontalis et al., 2018). Medical community support often advocates for clear regulations, guidelines, and safeguards to ensure that the practice is conducted ethically and with appropriate oversight.

2. Religious Groups

Religious perspectives on assisted suicide are diverse and influenced by various theological beliefs (Grove et al., 2022). Some religious groups, such as certain branches of Christianity and Islam, generally oppose assisted suicide based on their interpretation of religious texts and the conviction that life is sacred and should be protected. They argue that hastening death interferes with God's plan and violates the sanctity of life (Pew Research Center, 2013).

Other religious groups, including certain denominations within Christianity and Judaism, have more nuanced positions. They recognise the importance of alleviating suffering and consider compassionate end-of-life decisions within the boundaries of their faith teachings. These groups underscore the importance of ethical guidelines, spiritual support, and clergy participation in end-of-life decision-making (Pew Research Center, 2013).

3. Patient Advocacy Organisations

Patient advocacy organisations are often crucial in the assisted suicide controversy. Some organisations support the legalisation of assisted suicide as an option for terminally ill individuals who face unbearable suffering. They argue that it allows individuals to control their lives, make decisions consistent with their values, and die with dignity (Fontalis et al., 2018). These organisations focus on promoting access to assisted suicide, ensuring adequate safeguards, and advocating for improved palliative and end-of-life care.

Other patient advocacy organisations may take a more cautious stance or oppose assisted suicide altogether. They may advocate for increased access to palliative treatment of the best possible quality and end-of-life care support as an alternative to assisted suicide (Erdek, 2015). These organisations prioritise comprehensive pain management, emotional support, and compassionate care to address the concerns and needs of terminally ill patients without hastening death.

Notably, these stakeholder perspectives are diverse, so not all individuals within a particular group may hold the same views. The perspectives of medical professionals, religious groups, and patient advocacy organisations reflect the complex and nuanced nature of the debate on assisted suicide, influenced by a range of ethical, moral, religious, and professional considerations.

MEDICAL PERSPECTIVE

Here is an exploration of the medical aspects of assisted suicide, including the role of physicians and healthcare providers:

1. Physician's role

The involvement of physicians in the context of assisted suicide is critical. In jurisdictions where assisted suicide is permitted, physicians are frequently involved in the procedure. They may be responsible for assessing the patient's eligibility, ensuring that the patient meets the legal criteria, and indicating that the request is voluntary and informed. Physicians may also be involved in prescribing or providing the medication or method used for assisted suicide (Pew Research Center, 2013).

Indeed, physicians' involvement in assisted suicide can be a subject of ethical, professional, and legal debate. Some physicians may object to participating in assisted suicide due to personal or religious beliefs, considering it incompatible with their professional duty to preserve life. In contrast, other physicians may support the option of assisted suicide, believing it aligns with patient autonomy and the principles of compassionate care (Breitbart, 2012).

2. End-of-life care and palliative services

Palliative and end-of-life care connect with the medical side of assisted suicide. When a terminal illness causes substantial pain,

anguish, or loss of quality of life, assisted suicide is frequently considered an option. Palliative care attempts to address these issues by providing the comprehensive management of pain, symptom control, emotional support, and spiritual care for terminally ill patients to improve their quality of life (Clark, 2016).

Healthcare providers, including palliative care specialists, are vital in ensuring that patients have palliative treatment of high quality. They focus on addressing physical, emotional, and spiritual needs while supporting patients in making informed decisions about their care, including the option of assisted suicide (Gerson et al., 2019). Some feel that increasing access to palliative care and boosting end-of-life services would be beneficial and could reduce the demand for assisted suicide by providing comprehensive support to patients facing terminal illnesses.

3. Ethical Considerations and Decision-Making

For healthcare providers, the medical aspects of assisted suicide involve navigating complex ethical considerations and engaging in patient-centred decision-making. Physicians and healthcare professionals must balance respect for patient autonomy, the alleviation of pain, and their professional and ethical obligations. They must carefully consider whether to participate in assisted suicide based on their personal beliefs, legal obligations, and the specific circumstances of each case (Akdeniz et al., 2021).

Open and honest communication between healthcare providers and patients is essential. Healthcare professionals should engage in thorough discussions with patients, exploring their concerns, fears, values, and goals of care. They must provide accurate information about the patient's condition, available treatment options, and the potential advantages and disadvantages of assisted suicide. This approach allows for shared decision-making, ensuring that patients are well-informed and supported in making choices that align with their values and wishes (Akdeniz et al., 2021).

Acknowledging that the medical aspects of assisted suicide are complex and may differ based on legal, cultural, and healthcare system contexts is imperative. Physicians and healthcare providers

navigate a challenging landscape of medical ethics, legal regulations, and professional values when addressing the medical aspects of assisted suicide.

Criteria and Safeguards for Assisted Suicide

Here is a discussion of criteria and safeguards that are typically required for assisted suicide to be considered:

1. **Terminal Illness**

One of the most prominent requirements for considering assisted suicide is that the person has a terminal illness. The disease is incurable and is expected to result in the individual's death within a specific timeframe. The exact definition of a terminal illness may vary based on the individual's legal jurisdiction and specific legislation. The necessity of terminal illness aims to limit assisted suicide as a practice and is subject to cases where the individual's suffering is irreversible and nearing the end stages of life (Fontalis et al., 2018).

2. **Unbearable Suffering**

Assisted suicide is often considered an option for individuals facing unbearable suffering that cannot be adequately relieved through available treatments or palliative care. The concept of unbearable suffering is subjective and can encompass physical pain, emotional distress, loss of quality of life, or a combination of these factors (Ruijs et al., 2014). Typically, the individual's suffering must be deemed severe and intolerable to warrant consideration for assisted suicide. Medical professionals, including physicians and mental health professionals, may be involved in assessing the nature and extent of the individual's suffering.

3. **Informed Consent and Mental Capacity**

The criterion of informed consent and mental capacity is a critical precaution when it comes to assisted suicide. The person must be able to make independent choices concerning treatment, with the possibility of pursuing assisted suicide. This decision involves understanding the nature and consequences of the choice and the risks and alternatives available. In some jurisdictions, multiple assessments by healthcare professionals

may be required to confirm the individual's mental capacity and ensure that the decision is voluntary and well-informed (Scopetti et al., 2023).

4. Procedural Safeguards

Legal frameworks for assisted suicide often include procedural safeguards to protect against abuse and ensure proper oversight. These precautions may include repeated requests, waiting periods between requests and assistance, the involvement of several healthcare professionals in the decision-making process, and an independent agency or review board reviewing the case. These safeguards aim to ensure that the decision-making process is thoughtful, deliberate, and subject to objective scrutiny (Matthews, 2022).

Notably, the criteria and safeguards for assisted suicide can vary significantly depending on the jurisdiction. Different countries and states have different legal frameworks and requirements in place. These criteria and safeguards are intended to find a happy medium to strike a balance between respecting individual liberty, preventing abuse, and ensuring appropriate safeguards to protect vulnerable populations.

Key Considerations in Design and Implementation of Regulatory Framework

Healthcare providers, legal authorities, and policymakers play a crucial role in designing and implementing these criteria and safeguards to ensure that assisted suicide is conducted within a robust regulatory framework to protect the rights and well-being of individuals seeking this option (Pesut et al., 2019).

Indeed, the area of assisted suicide research is expanding, so specific findings may change across different studies and jurisdictions. Here are some key points:

1. Effectiveness of Assisted Suicide

Several studies have been conducted to explore the effectiveness of assisted suicide in relieving suffering and improving end-of-life care. According to this research, the option of assisted suicide provides eligible individuals with a sense of control and sovereignty over their own lives and deaths. It can alleviate fears of prolonged suffering and enable individuals to die following

their beliefs and preferences. Studies have reported high levels of satisfaction among individuals who choose assisted suicide, with many reporting a peaceful and dignified death (Pesut et al., 2019; Hofstra et al., 2019).

2. **Safety and Adherence to Legal Safeguards**
Studies have examined the adherence to legal safeguards and the safety of assisted suicide practices. Research from states that permit assisted suicide, such as Oregon and the Netherlands, has discovered that most cases comply with the established legal criteria and procedural safeguards. Physicians and healthcare providers have reported that they follow rigorous protocols to ensure that individuals meet the eligibility criteria, have the capacity to make decisions, and are not subject to undue influence or coercion (Pereira, 2011).
3. **Evaluation of Palliative Care and Alternative Options**
The accessibility of excellent palliative care and the need for assisted suicide have also been researched. According to several studies, increased access to comprehensive palliative care and strong end-of-life support can lessen the need for assisted suicide. Accessible and effective palliative care can meet terminally ill patients' medical, psychological, and spiritual needs, lowering pain and improving quality of life.
4. **Noteworthy is the fact that the topic of assisted suicide is complex and sensitive, so empirical studies may have limits.** Factors such as the relatively low number of cases, variations in legal frameworks, and ethical considerations can present challenges in conducting comprehensive research. Furthermore, the interpretation of research findings and their application to specific contexts must be made with caution.

CASE STUDIES AND REAL-WORLD EXAMPLES

Here are some relevant case studies and real-world examples that illustrate the complexities and nuances of assisted suicide:

1. **Oregon Death with Dignity Act**
Enacted in 1997, the Oregon Death with Dignity Act permits terminally ill individuals to seek and obtain prescription medication that will hasten their death. Oregon's experience

with assisted suicide laws is a case study of the implementation and implications of such legislation. Several studies have explored the patterns, safeguards, and outcomes of assisted suicide in Oregon, providing information on topics such as patient demographics, reasons for choosing assisted suicide, and the role of palliative care in end-of-life decision-making (Pereira, 2011).

2. The Netherlands and Belgium

Under some conditions, the Netherlands and Belgium have legalised euthanasia and assisted suicide. These countries have highlighted the complications of assisted suicide, such as precautions, legal criteria, and public opinion. The prevalence of assisted suicide, the patients and conditions involved, and the role of physicians in the decision-making process have all been studied. These countries' experiences have shown ongoing ethical disputes, worries over potential eligibility criteria growth, and the significance of strict monitoring and regulation (Appel & van Wijngaarden, 2021).

3. Brittany Maynard's Case

The story of Brittany Maynard, a young lady with incurable brain cancer, drew widespread attention and stirred debate regarding assisted suicide. Maynard made her decision to move to Oregon for assisted suicide public in 2014, suggesting that she desired power over how and when she would die. Her narrative highlighted the emotional, personal, and ethical aspects of assisted suicide, generating debate on the right to die with dignity (Eleftheriou-Smith, 2014).

4. *Carter v. Canada*

A significant Canadian court case, *Carter v. Canada*, contested the nation's ban on assisted suicide. The prohibition against physician-assisted suicide was found to breach the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms in 2015, according to the Canadian Supreme Court. The situation led to the development of the MAID Act, which established the prerequisites and safety measures for receiving assisted suicide in Canada. The case serves as an example of both legal and moral issues, as well as shifting public perceptions about assisted suicide (Eleftheriou-Smith, 2014).

These case studies and real-world examples provide a glimpse into the complexities, ethical dilemmas, and legal frameworks surrounding assisted suicide. They highlight the need for comprehensive discussions and careful consideration of the factors involved, including patient autonomy, medical ethics, legal regulation, and the impact on individuals, families, and healthcare systems.

Impact on Legal, Ethical and Medical Landscape

Here is a discussion of the outcomes and impact of the cases mentioned on the legal, ethical, and medical landscape:

1. Oregon Death with Dignity Act

The Oregon Death with Dignity Act has had a significant impact on the legal landscape of assisted suicide. The law's successful implementation has impacted other governments contemplating similar laws. Oregon's outcomes and experiences have contributed useful data and insights into the practice of assisted suicide, leading to ongoing discussions and legislative debates in other locations (Eleftheriou-Smith²⁰¹⁴).

The Oregon Death with Dignity Act has spurred debates about patient autonomy, dignity, and the delicate balance between prolonging life and easing pain. It has prompted a reconsideration of the roles of healthcare practitioners and the importance of patient-centred care in deciding how to end one's life. Concerns have also been raised regarding the likelihood of a slippery slope and the need for steps to protect vulnerable people (Purvis, 2012).

Regarding the medical realm, Oregon law has fostered discussions about integrating palliative care and end-of-life options, emphasising the importance of terminally ill patients receiving pain control, emotional support, and psychosocial care. The law's impact has also contributed to improvements in palliative care practices as healthcare providers strive to enhance living standards for those facing end-of-life decisions (Purvis, 2012).

2. The Netherlands and Belgium

In the Netherlands and Belgium, the legalisation of euthanasia and assisted suicide has had far-reaching legal, ethical, and medical ramifications. These cases have provided insights into the potential expansion of eligibility criteria, including

extending assisted suicide to individuals with non-terminal conditions or psychiatric illnesses. They have sparked ongoing debates regarding the boundaries of autonomy, the role of physicians, and the need for strict safeguards to prevent abuse (Davis, 2019).

Ethically, the cases in the Netherlands and Belgium have raised questions about the concept of a “good death” and the appropriate balance between respecting individual autonomy and protecting vulnerable populations. They have also highlighted the importance of ongoing evaluation and monitoring to ensure that legal frameworks are adhered to and that the rights and well-being of patients are safeguarded (Davis, 2019).

In the medical realm, the cases have prompted discussions about the integration of palliative care and the availability of alternative options for patients facing end-of-life suffering. They have underscored the need for accessible and high-quality palliative care services to support patients in their end-of-life journey, potentially reducing the demand for assisted suicide (Davis, 2019).

3. *Carter v. Canada*

Carter v. Canada and subsequent Canadian legislation have had a transformational impact on the legal, ethical, and medical landscape around assisted suicide. The Supreme Court’s decision and the accompanying MAID bill provided a legal framework in Canada for access to assisted suicide (McMorrow, 2018).

Legally, the decision expanded the options available to terminally ill people and set a precedent for constitutional challenges to the right to die with dignity. The *Carter* case has prompted other countries and jurisdictions to evaluate their laws and consider future changes in response to altering public perceptions and evolving medical practices (McMorrow, 2018). The case sparked an ethical debate over the importance of individual autonomy and the freedom to choose one’s life and death. It also generated concerns about the possible impact on vulnerable populations, as well as the necessity for solid controls to prevent abuse and ensure that assisted suicide remains a free and educated choice (McMorrow, 2018).

In terms of medicine, the case has influenced medical education, training, and discussions about end-of-life care. It has emphasised the significance of patient-centred approaches, open communication, and interdisciplinary teamwork in

addressing the complex needs and concerns of patients faced with end-of-life decisions (McMorrow, 2018).

Overall, these cases have significantly impacted the legal, ethical, and medical landscape surrounding assisted suicide. They have prompted important discussions, shaped legislation, and influenced medical practices, underscoring the need for ongoing dialogue and thoughtful consideration of the complex issues involved.

PUBLIC OPINION AND DEBATE

Public opinion on assisted suicide varies across different countries and jurisdictions. Here is an examination of public opinion polls and surveys conducted on this topic:

1. United States

Public opinion in the United States has shown a gradual increase in support for assisted suicide. According to Gallup and Pew Research Center polls, most Americans accept the possibility of assisted suicide for terminally sick patients. For example, a 2020 Gallup poll found that 70% of Americans thought physicians ought to be permitted to assist sick people in ending their lives (Brenan, 2018).

2. Europe

Opinions on assisted suicide in European countries vary. Public support is generally higher in nations where assisted suicide is authorised under specific conditions, such as the Netherlands, Belgium, and Switzerland. Surveys in these nations have found strong support for the provision of assisted suicide as an end-of-life option. However, even in these countries, public opinion is not unanimous, and some individuals and groups express concerns or oppose the practice (BBC, 2022).

3. Canada

In Canada, public opinion is shifting in favour of greater acceptance of assisted suicide. Surveys conducted before and after the *Carter v. Canada* decision, which culminated in the legalisation of assisted suicide in Canada, revealed an increase in public acceptance. According to polls performed by organisations such as the Angus Reid Institute and Ipsos,

the majority of Canadians support enabling terminally ill individuals to commit suicide (Sathya, 2013; Blackwell, 2011).

4. Malaysia

In Malaysia, the intersection of diverse religions and cultures underscores the significance of moral and familial values in shaping attitudes toward end-of-life decisions. Given the emphasis on respecting and safeguarding life within religious and cultural frameworks, public sentiment is more inclined to oppose physician-assisted suicide. A 2014 study conducted by the International Islamic University Malaysia explored public attitudes towards euthanasia. The results revealed that resistance to euthanasia was mainly driven by religious beliefs rather than the seriousness of the illness (Rathnor et al., 2014). However, the study primarily engaged physicians and patients, potentially skewing the findings away from representing the broader public sentiment towards assisted suicide within the country.

5. Brunei

Although no specific research has investigated public sentiment regarding the endorsement of assisted suicide within Brunei, a nation sharing similarities with Malaysia in its conservative religious and cultural settings, it is noteworthy that both countries prioritise the education of youth in religious doctrines and societal values, emphasising familial, religious, and national duties throughout their educational journey. Presently, the Bruneian people practice Islam as a way of life. Since the 14th century, Islamic education has been taught through informal and formal methods at learning institutions (Muhammad & Baihaqy, 2021). This pedagogical approach may significantly shape public attitudes towards the legalisation of assisted suicide within the country, albeit in the absence of current intentions to pursue such legislative changes.

5. Other Countries

Opinions on assisted suicide in other countries vary widely. Some countries have seen public debates and discussions, while others have not actively pursued legislative changes. Public opinion polls in countries like Australia, New Zealand, and the United Kingdom have indicated a mixed response, with

varying levels of support for legalising assisted suicide (Mroz et al., 2021).

Public opinion on assisted suicide is complicated and impacted by a variety of factors, including cultural, religious, and ethical convictions. As awareness and knowledge of end-of-life alternatives and palliative care improve, attitudes may change.

Public opinion polls and surveys provide valuable insights into the perspectives of the general population on assisted suicide. They help inform policymakers, healthcare professionals, and advocates in understanding societal attitudes and shaping public discourse surrounding this sensitive issue. However, recognising that public opinion is just one factor among many that influence the development of laws and policies related to assisted suicide is essential.

Factors in Shaping Public Attitudes Towards Assisted Suicide

A variety of factors, including cultural, religious, and personal beliefs, shape public attitudes about assisted suicide. Here is an analysis of some key factors:

1. **Cultural Factors**

Cultural beliefs and values play a significant role in shaping public attitudes towards assisted suicide (Vilpert et al., 2020). Different cultures have varying perspectives on death, autonomy, and the role of healthcare professionals (Clay, 2018). Cultures that prioritise individual autonomy and personal decision-making may be more inclined to support assisted suicide as a means of preserving a person's control over their own life and death. In contrast, cultures that emphasise the sanctity of life or have strong traditions of familial or communal decision-making may have more reservations about assisted suicide.

2. **Religious Factors**

Religious beliefs and doctrines can strongly influence attitudes towards assisted suicide (Kletečka-Pulker et al., 2022). Some religious traditions, such as certain interpretations of Christianity or Islam, may view human life as sacred and believe that only a higher power has the authority to select the date and method of death. Consequently, adherents of these religious

traditions may be more likely to oppose assisted suicide. However, religious beliefs can vary within denominations, and individuals may hold diverse perspectives based on their interpretation of religious teachings (Cook, 2014).

3. Personal Beliefs and Experiences

Personal beliefs, values, and life experiences can shape one's stance on assisted suicide. Individuals' attitudes may be formed based on their personal end-of-life care experiences, the anguish of loved ones, or observations of the effects of terminal diseases. Personal values regarding autonomy, dignity, and the alleviation of suffering can strongly influence attitudes towards assisted suicide. Additionally, individuals directly exposed to end-of-life decision-making may have more nuanced perspectives based on their personal experiences (Cook, 2014).

4. Education and Awareness

Education and awareness about end-of-life care options, including assisted suicide, can influence public attitudes. When individuals have access to accurate and comprehensive information about the benefits, risks, and safeguards associated with assisted suicide, they may be more open to considering it as a legitimate option for individuals facing terminal illnesses. Conversely, lack of knowledge or misconceptions about assisted suicide can contribute to negative attitudes or misunderstandings (Pereira, 2011).

5. Media and Public Discourse

Media coverage and public discourse on assisted suicide can also shape public attitudes. The media portrayal of assisted suicide has the potential to affect public opinion. Media narratives highlighting individual stories, ethical dilemmas, and discussions on the right to die can increase awareness and engagement. Additionally, public debates involving experts, policymakers, and ethicists can stimulate thoughtful discussions and influence public attitudes toward assisted suicide (Rietjens et al., 2013).

Indeed, public attitudes towards assisted suicide are diverse and multifaceted, influenced by a combination of cultural, religious, personal, and educational factors. Understanding these factors can

help inform public discourse, policymaking, and the development of healthcare practices that reflect the values and preferences of a diverse society.

Debates and Controversies on Assisted Suicide

Ongoing debates and controversies surround the topic of assisted suicide. Here are the key arguments from both sides:

Arguments in Favour of Physician-Assisted Suicide

1. Autonomy and Individual Choice:

Individuals possess the authority to make autonomous decisions regarding their own lives, particularly the timing and manner of their death, according to proponents of assisted suicide. They believe that people who are close to death should be given the option of ending their suffering and dying with dignity rather than living in severe pain and with a reduced quality of life (Cook, 2014).

2. Alleviating Suffering:

Supporters say that assisted suicide is a humanitarian way to alleviate terminally ill patients' significant physical and psychological suffering. They feel that allowing patients to choose the circumstances of their death is more humanitarian than putting them to extended suffering with restricted treatment options (Huffman & Theodore, 2003).

3. Patient-Centred Care:

Proponents of assisted suicide assert that it aligns with patient-centred care, prioritising the wishes and well-being of the individual. They argue that healthcare professionals should respect and honour patients' autonomy, providing comprehensive information about end-of-life options and supporting their choices (Edgman-Levitan & Schoenbaum, 2021).

Arguments Against Assisted Suicide

1. Life Sanctity:

Opponents claim that human life is fundamentally precious and that causing or helping in someone's death violates this fundamental principle. They think that all life, regardless of quality or circumstances, is valuable and that society must defend it.

2. Slippery Slope:

Some detractors fear that legalising assisted suicide for terminally ill patients may result in the acceptance of assisted suicide for other vulnerable populations or non-terminal ailments. They fear that legalising assisted suicide could undermine the societal commitment to protecting vulnerable individuals and potentially lead to involuntary or non-voluntary euthanasia (Keown, 2005).

3. Palliative Care and Alternatives

Opponents stress the need for palliative care as an alternative to assisted suicide. They contend that advances in pain management, emotional support, and psychological care may adequately address terminally ill patients' suffering, rendering assisted suicide unnecessary (Sultana et al., 2021).

4. Ethical and Professional Responsibilities of Healthcare Providers

Some critics say that the goal of healthcare providers should be to heal and offer compassionate care rather than to participate in activities that intentionally end a patient's life. They assert that legalising assisted suicide may compromise the trust between patients and healthcare providers and erode the ethical principles of medicine.

Nonetheless, these arguments represent a range of perspectives, so individuals may have nuanced views on the subject. The ongoing debates and controversies surrounding assisted suicide reflect the complex ethical, legal, and philosophical considerations involved in end-of-life decision-making.

ANALYSIS OF THE LEGAL, ETHICAL AND MEDICAL ASPECTS OF ASSISTED SUICIDE

From a legal standpoint, the permissibility of assisted suicide varies across different jurisdictions. Some countries and states have enacted legislation that allows assisted suicide under specific conditions, while others consider it a criminal offence. The legal framework

surrounding assisted suicide often involves criteria and measures to guarantee the protection of the most vulnerable individuals and the proper application of the practice.

The ethical issues surrounding assisted suicide are numerous and complex. Autonomy is a core ethical principle at work that argues for individuals' right to choose how they want to live their lives, including how they want to die. The concept of dignity is also important, as proponents believe that terminally ill people should have the option to die with dignity rather than suffer in unbearable pain. However, ethical concerns arise, particularly among vulnerable people, such as the sanctity of life and the danger of abuse or compulsion. Hence, the balance between autonomy, vulnerable individuals' protection, and healthcare professionals' obligations is continually discussed.

From a medical aspect, the legalisation of assisted suicide has ramifications for healthcare workers, who are frequently at the forefront of end-of-life care. Because of ethical or religious beliefs, physicians and other healthcare workers may have moral or conscientious objections to participating in assisted suicide. Balancing the duty to provide compassionate care with individual preferences for assisted suicide can present ethical dilemmas. The medical aspect also includes considerations of proper assessment and decision-making processes, ensuring that patients meet specific criteria, have mental capacity, and have explored all available alternatives, including palliative care.

In addition, the medical community is critical in providing palliative care to terminally sick patients. Palliative care focuses on aches and symptoms treatment, as well as psychological and emotional support and quality of life enhancement. The availability and quality of palliative care services are critical aspects of the overall end-of-life care environment, so they may influence views and choices about assisted suicide.

Legal, ethical, and medical aspects of assisted suicide must be approached with careful consideration and ongoing dialogue. These dimensions are interconnected, so any discussion about the topic should thoroughly examine individual rights, societal values, patient autonomy, the sanctity of life, and the responsibilities of healthcare professionals. Balancing these considerations requires a comprehensive understanding of the diverse perspectives and ongoing advancements in medical care and ethical frameworks.

In conclusion, the topic of assisted suicide encompasses complex legal, ethical, and medical dimensions that continue to spark debates and discussions worldwide.

Future Developments

As societies evolve and perspectives shift, it is important to highlight potential future developments and areas of further exploration. The legal status of assisted suicide is likely to continue evolving. Some jurisdictions may revisit their laws to expand or restrict access to assisted suicide based on changing societal attitudes and ongoing legal challenges. Future developments may involve discussions around standardising criteria, safeguards, and reporting requirements to ensure the proper application of assisted suicide laws.

As individuals and cultures wrestle with issues of autonomy, dignity, life sanctity, and the responsibility of healthcare professionals, the ethical discussion around assisted suicide will continue. Further research could include ethical frameworks that balance individual autonomy with the protection of vulnerable individuals and the professional responsibilities of healthcare providers.

As the field of palliative care advances, efforts will be made to enhance the access, quality, and understanding of comprehensive end-of-life care options. Enhancing palliative care services can potentially alleviate suffering, address the concerns that lead individuals to consider assisted suicide, and offer viable alternatives.

CONCLUSION

Assisted suicide is a topic of international significance, and different countries may engage in cross-cultural discussions to understand diverse perspectives and exchange knowledge on legal frameworks, ethical considerations, and medical practices. Comparative studies and international collaborations may provide insights into the outcomes, challenges, and lessons learned from different approaches to assisted suicide.

Engaging in open, respectful, and well-informed public discourse is crucial for the further exploration of assisted suicide. Educating the

public on end-of-life alternatives, such as palliative care and assisted suicide, can help shape future policies and practices by fostering a more informed knowledge of the complexity involved.

Overall, the future of assisted suicide will continue to be shaped by ongoing dialogues, advancements in medical care, legal developments, and evolving societal attitudes. Striking a balance between individual autonomy, vulnerable individuals' protection, and healthcare professionals' responsibilities is a continuous struggle. Continued research, thoughtful contemplation, and stakeholder participation are required to negotiate this complicated and sensitive topic in a way that respects the interests and preferences of many populations while adhering to ethical principles and providing good patient care.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research did not receive specific grants from any public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agencies.

REFERENCES

- Akdeniz, M., Yardimci, B., & Kavukcu, E. (2021). Ethical consideration at the end-of-life care. *SAGE Open Med*, 9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7958189/>
- AMA Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs. (2014). AMA Code of medical ethics' opinions on assisted reproductive technology. *AMA Journal of Ethics*, 16(1), 30–33. <https://doi.org/10.1001/virtualmentor.2014.16.1.coet1-1401>
- Appel, J. E., & Van Wijngaarden, E. J. (2021). Older adults who experience their lives to be completed and no longer worth living: A systematic mini-review into used terminology, definitions, and interpretations. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.734049>
- Ashford, J. (2021, September 22). *Countries where euthanasia is legal*. The Week UK. <https://www.theweek.co.uk/102978/countries-where-euthanasia-is-legal>
- Asscher, E. C. A., & Vam de Vathorst, S. (2020). First prosecution of a Dutch doctor since the Euthanasia Act of 2002: What does the verdict mean? *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 46(2), 71–75. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2019-105877>

- Bakewell, F. (2016, June). *Medical Assistance in Dying (MAID)*. CanadiEM Online Community of Practice for Canadian EM Physicians. <https://canadiem.org/medical-assistance-dying/>
- Barrow, J. M., & Khandhar, P. B. (2023, August 8). *Deontology*. StatPearls Publishing. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459296/>
- BBC. (2014). *BBC – Ethics Guide – Euthanasia: Anti-euthanasia arguments*. BBC. https://www.bbc.co.uk/ethics/euthanasia/against/against_1.shtml
- BBC. (2020, October 14). *Netherlands backs euthanasia for terminally ill children under-12*. BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-54538288>
- BBC. (2022, January 1). *New law allowing assisted suicide takes effect in Austria*. BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-59847371>
- Blackwell, T. (2011) *67% of Canadians support legalizing assisted suicide: poll*. Toronto Sun. <https://torontosun.com/news/canada/67-of-canadians-support-legalizing-assisted-suicide-poll>
- Braun, E. (2022). An autonomy-based approach to assisted suicide: A way to avoid the expressivist objection against assisted dying laws. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 49(7). <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme-2022-108375>
- Breitbart, W. (2012). The physician's role in physician-assisted suicide. *Palliative and Supportive Care*, 10(4), 237–239. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1478951512000752>
- Brenan, M. (2018, May 31). *Americans' strong support for euthanasia persists*. Gallup. <https://news.gallup.com/poll/235145/americans-strong-support-euthanasia-persists.aspx>
- Chan, B., & Somerville, M. (2016). Converting the “Right to Life” to the “Right to Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia”: An analysis of *Carter v Canada* (Attorney General), Supreme Court of Canada. *Medical Law Review*, 24(2), 143–175. <https://doi.org/10.1093/medlaw/fww005>
- Childress, S. (2012, November 13). *The evolution of America's right-to-die movement*. Frontline. PBS. <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/article/the-evolution-of-americas-right-to-die-movement>
- Chu, M. (2023). *Malaysia seeks to decriminalize suicide attempts*. Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/malaysia-seeks-decriminalise-suicide-attempts-2023-04-04/>

- Clark, D. (2016, March 30). *Palliative care or assisted dying? We just need to start talking more about "the right to die well"*. The Conversation. <http://theconversation.com/palliative-care-or-assisted-dying-we-just-need-to-start-talking-more-about-the-right-to-die-well-56258>
- Clay, R. (2018). The cultural distinctions in whether, when and how people engage in suicidal behavior. *American Psychological Association*, 49(6), 28.
- Colburn, B. (2019). Autonomy, voluntariness and assisted dying. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 46(5). <https://doi.org/10.1136/me-dethics-2019-105720>
- Cook, C. C. H. (2014). Suicide and religion. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 204(4), 254–255. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.113.136069>
- Davis, N. (2019, July 15). Euthanasia and assisted dying rates are soaring. But where are they legal? *The guardian*. <http://www.theguardian.com/news/2019/jul/15/euthanasia-and-assisted-dying-rates-are-soaring-but-where-are-they-legal>
- Dugdale, L. S., Lerner, B. H., & Callahan, D. (2019). Pros and cons of physician aid in dying. *The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine*, 92(4), 747–750. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31866790/>
- Edgman-Levitan, S., & Schoenbaum, S. C. (2021). Patient-centered care: Achieving higher quality by designing care through the patient's eyes. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research*, 10(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13584-021-00459-9>
- Eleftheriou-Smith, L.-M. (2014, November 5). "Goodbye world" writes terminally ill Brittany Maynard as she chooses to end her life. *The Independent*. <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/people/brittany-maynard-dead-terminally-ill-cancer-patient-ends-life-by-assisted-suicide-9834808.html>
- Erdek, M. (2015). Pain medicine and palliative care as an alarternative to euthanasia in end-of-life cancer care. *Linacre Q*, 82(2), 128-134. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4434784/>
- Euthanasia in Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg. (2013). *Prescrire International*, 22(143), 274–278. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24427846/>
- Fontalis, A., Prousalis, E., & Kulkarni, K. (2018). Euthanasia and assisted dying: What is the current position and what are the key arguments informing the debates? *J R Soc Med*, 111(11), 407–413. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6243437/>

- Ganzini, L., Nelson, H. D., Schmidt, T. A., Kraemer, D. F., Delorit, M. A., & Lee, M. A. (2000). Physicians' experiences with the oregon death with dignity Act. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 342(8), 557–563. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm200002243420806>
- Gerson, S. M., Koksvik, G. H., Richards, N., Materstvedt, L. J., & Clark, D. (2019). The relationship of palliative care with assisted dying where assisted dying is lawful: A systematic scoping review of the literature. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2019.12.361>
- GOC. (2016, June 16). *Medical Assistance in Dying*. Government of Canada. <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/health-services-benefits/medical-assistance-dying.html>
- GOC. (2016). *Introduction - Brief Summary of Carter v. Canada*. Government of Canada. <https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/rp-pr/other-autre/ad-am/p1.html>
- GOC. (2021, March 17). *Canada's New Medical Assistance in Dying (MAID) law*. Government of Canada. <https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/cj-jp/ad-am/bk-di.html>
- Goligher, E. C., Ely, E. W., Sulmasy, D. P., Bakker, J., Raphael, J., Volandes, A. E., Patel, B. M., Payne, K., Hosie, A., Churchill, L., White, D. B., & Downar, J. (2019). Physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia in the ICU. *Critical Care Medicine*, 45(2), 149–155. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ccm.0000000000001818>
- Grove, G., Lovell, M., & Best, M. (2022). Perspectives of major world religions regarding euthanasia and assisted suicide: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 61(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-022-01498-5>
- Guarascio, F. (2016, September 17). *17-year-old is first minor to be granted euthanasia in Belgium*. Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-belgium-euthanasia-minor-idUSKCN11N09P>
- Guillod, O., & Schmidt, A. (2005). Assisted suicide under swiss law. *European Journal of Health Law*, 12, 25-38. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/45442698>
- Hetzler, P. T., Nie, J., Zhou, A., & Dugdale, L. S. (2019). A report of physicians' beliefs about physician-assisted suicide: A national study. *The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine*, 92(4), 575–585. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6913834/>
- Ho, H. (2020). Suicide prevention in Brunei. *BJPsych International*, 17(2), 40-42. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7283118/>

- Hofstra, E., Van Nieuwenhuizen, C., Bakker, M., Özgül, D., Elfedali, I., De Jong, S. J., & Van der Feltz-Cornelis, C. M. (2019). Effectiveness of suicide prevention interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 63, 127-140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2019.04.011>
- Huffman, J. C., & Stern, T. A. (2003). Compassionate care of the terminally ill. *The Primary Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 5(3), 131-136. <https://doi.org/10.4088/pcc.v05n0305>
- Kamalruzaman, M. S., Jafri, N. I., Nuing, D. B. (2022). Euthanasia and advanced Medical directives in the perspective of ethical, moral, religious and legal issues in Malaysia and under common law. *Current Legal Issue*, 4, 97-121. <https://journalarticle.ukm.my/18547/1/ARTIKEL%208.pdf>
- Keown, J. (2005). *Euthanasia, ethics and public policy: An argument against legalisation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kletečka-Pulker, M., Völkl-Kernstock, S., A Atanasov, Doppler, K., Eitenberger, M., Gabriel, M., Klager, E., Klomfar, S., Teufel, A., & Ruf, A.-K. (2022). Views on assisted suicide and religious beliefs. *OMEGA - Journal of Death and Dying*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00302228221133895>
- Lewis, P. (2015, October 6). *Assisted dying: What does the law in different countries say?* BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-34445715>
- Matthews, S. (2022, May 11). *An evidence-based approach to Assisted Dying*. King's College London. <https://www.kcl.ac.uk/news/spotlight/an-evidence-based-approach-to-assisted-dying>
- McMorrow, T. (2018). MAID in Canada?. Debating the Constitutionality of Canada's new medical assistance in dying law. *Queen's LJ*, 44(1), 69. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3318824
- McQuillan, S. (2020, September 16). *Arguments for and against physician-assisted suicide*. psychology today. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/cravings/202009/arguments-and-against-physician-assisted-suicide>
- Mroz, S., Dierickx, S., Deliëns, L., Cohen, J., & Chambaere, K. (2021). Assisted dying around the world: a status quaestionis. *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, 9(6), 57-57. <https://doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-637>
- Muhammad, N., & Baihaqy, H. (2021) MIB and Islamic education in Brunei Darussalam: An overview. *Globalisation, Education and Reform in Brunei Darussalam*, 85-103. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77119-5_5

- National Cancer Institute. (2021, November 1). *Palliative Care in Cancer*. National Cancer Institute <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/advanced-cancer/care-choices/palliative-care-fact-sheet>
- National Council on Disability. (2019, October 9) *Federal study finds nation's assisted suicide laws rife with dangers to people with disabilities*. NCD.gov <https://www.ncd.gov/2019/10/09/federal-study-finds-nations-assisted-suicide-laws-rife-with-dangers-to-people-with-disabilities/>
- National Health Service. (2020, July 28). *Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide*. NHS. <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/euthanasia-and-assisted-suicide/>
- Oregon Health Authority. (2010). *Oregon Health Authority: Oregon Revised Statute: Oregon's Death with Dignity Act: Death with Dignity Act: State of Oregon*. Oregon.gov. <https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/PROVIDERPARTNERRESOURCES/EVALUATIONRESEARCH/DEATHWITHDIGNITYACT/Pages/ors.aspx>
- Pereira, J. (2011). Legalizing euthanasia or assisted suicide: The illusion of safeguards and controls. *Current Oncology (Toronto, Ont.)*, 18(2), e38-45. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3070710/>
- Pesut, B., Thorne, S., Greig, M., Fulton, A., Janke, R., & Vis-Dunbar, M. (2019). Ethical, policy, and practice implications of nurses' experiences with assisted death. *Advances in Nursing Science*, 42(3), 216–230. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ans.0000000000000276>
- Pew Research Centre. (2006, February 1). *Supreme Court's Decision in Gonzales v. Oregon: High Court Rejects Federal Regulation of Physician-Assisted Suicide*. Pew Research Center's Religion & Public Life Project. <https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2006/02/01/supreme-courts-decision-in-gonzales-v-oregon-high-court-rejects-federal-regulation-of-physician-assisted-suicide/>
- Pew Research Centre. (2013). *Religious Groups' Views on End-of-Life Issues*. Pew Research Centre's Religion & Public Life Project. <https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2013/11/21/religious-groups-views-on-end-of-life-issues/>
- Picón-Jaimes, Y. A., Lozada-Martinez, I. D., Orozco-Chinome, J. E., Montaña-Gómez, L. M., Bolaño-Romero, M. P., Moscote-Salazar, L. R., Janjua, T., & Rahman, S. (2022). Euthanasia

- and Assisted suicide: An in-depth review of relevant historical aspects. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*, 75, 103380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.103380>
- Purvis, T. E. (2012). Debating death: Religion, politics, and the Oregon death with dignity act. *The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine*, 85(2), 271–284. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3375669/>
- Rathnor, M. Y., Shahar, M. A., Abdul Rani, M. F., Che Abdullah, S. T., Jamalludin, A. R., Mohamad Shah, A. S., & Omar, A. M. (2014). Attitudes toward euthanasia and related issues among physicians and patients in a multi-cultural society of Malaysia. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 3(3), 230-237. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4863.141616>
- Rietjens, J. A., Raijmakers, N. J., Kouwenhoven, P. S., Seale, C., van Thiel, G. J., Trappenburg, M., van Delden, J. J., & van der Heide, A. (2013). News media coverage of euthanasia: A content analysis of Dutch national newspapers. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6939-14-11>
- Rome, R. B., Luminais, H. H., Bourgeois, D. A., & Blais, C. M. (2011). The role of palliative care at the end of life. *The Ochsner Journal*, 11(4) 348-352 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3241069/>
- Ruijs, C. D., Van der Wal, G., Kerkhof, A. J., & Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B. D. (2014). Unbearable suffering and requests for euthanasia prospectively studied in end-of-life cancer patients in primary care. *BMC Palliative Care*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-684x-13-62>
- Salihu, S. I., Yusof, Y., & Halim, R. (2017) Legal application of the offence of murder and euthanasia in Nigeria. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies*, 8, 113-132. <https://e-journal.uum.edu.my/index.php/uumjls/article/view/uumjls.8.2017.4646>
- Sathya, C. (2013, December 2). *Docs offside on physician-assisted suicide: Majority of doctors do not want to take part in euthanasia*. CBC News. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/health/docs-offside-on-physician-assisted-suicide-1.2448089>
- Scopetti, M., Morena, D., Padovano, M., Manetti, F., Di Fazio, N., Delogu, G., Ferracuti, S., Frati, P., & Fineschi, V. (2023). Assisted suicide and euthanasia in mental disorders: Ethical positions in the debate between proportionality, dignity, and the right to die. *Healthcare*, 11(10), 1470. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11101470>

- Shoichet, C. E. (2014, November 3). “*Death with Dignity*” advocate dies at 29. CNN. <https://www.cnn.com/2014/11/02/health/oregon-brittany-maynard/index.html>
- Sjöstrand, M., Helgesson, G., Eriksson, S., & Juth, N. (2011). Autonomy-based arguments against physician-assisted Suicide and euthanasia: A critique. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, 16(2), 225–230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-011-9365-5>
- Smith, W. J. (1999, February 15). *The Profit of Hemlock*. Washington Examiner. <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/weekly-standard/the-profit-of-hemlock>
- Sultana, A., Tasnim, S., Sharma, R., Pawar, P., Bhattacharya, S., & Hossain, M. M. (2021). Psychosocial challenges in palliative care: Bridging the gaps using digital health. *Indian Journal of Palliative Care*, 27(3), 442–447. https://doi.org/10.25259/ijpc_381_20
- The Government of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. (2012, September 4). *Information on Requesting Euthanasia or Assisted Suicide, Information on requesting euthanasia or assisted suicide*. Guichet.lu. <https://guichet.public.lu/en/citoyens/sante/fin-vie/euthanasie.html>
- Tseng, P-E., & Wang Y-H. (2021). Deontological or utilitarian? An eternal ethical dilemma in outbreak. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(16), 8565. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8391290/>
- U.S. Supreme Court (1990). *Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health*. *West's Supreme Court reporter*, 110, 2841–2892. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12041283/>
- Van Niekerk, A. (2016, October 25). *We have a right to die with dignity. The medical profession has a duty to assist*. The Conversation. <http://theconversation.com/we-have-a-right-to-die-with-dignity-the-medical-profession-has-a-duty-to-assist-67574>
- Veenhoven, R. (2010). Greater happiness for a Greater number: Is that possible and desirable? *J Happiness Stud*, 11, 60-629. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-010-9204-z>
- Vilpert, S., Bolliger, E., Borrat-Besson, C., Borasio, G. D., & Maurer, J. (2020). Social, cultural and experiential patterning of attitudes and behaviour towards assisted suicide in Switzerland: Evidence from a national population-based study. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 150 2526. <https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2020.20275>
- WHO. (2020, August 5). *Palliative Care*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/palliative-care>